G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000 - 20 12 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03 S

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 16

Serial Nos. 1–12

Papers Filed.

Month August 1902

SUBJECT.

Passing orders regarding the alienation of Inam land granted for "Thapasevai" in the Sri Venkata Ramana Swamy Temple Ronnur Village, Srivaikuntapuram Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Resumption of the village attached to the Sri Venkataramanaswami Temple at Ramer, Srinivasa LAST REFERENCE. - Ramanathapuram Taluk

Letter No. C 924 dated 30th November 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.

The village of Devarabanda in the Srinivasa - Ramanathapuram Taluk, is a Devadaya village, endowed to the Sri Venkataramanaswami Temple at Ramer in the same Taluk. At the time of the Inam settlement in 1880 the village was confirmed to Ramer

Amiah and others as noted in the margin, "for Life Service in the temple", as a Kayamgutta, subject to the annual payment of Rs 18. The Inam Title-Deed also lays down that "This Village is, moreover, subject to the payment of general, local, and municipal taxes, and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of Government works of irrigation, in common with ordinary Kandayam Ryots". The village was finally confirmed in 1893 on a Combined Quitrent of Rs 5-8, inclusive of the old Kayam Putta amount

(1) Amiah $\frac{1}{2}$

(2) Ramasubbia $\frac{1}{10}$

(3) Lutchi $\frac{1}{10}$ ✓

(4) Lutchi $\frac{1}{10}$

(5) Venkataramana $\frac{1}{10}$ ✓

(6) Venkataramana $\frac{1}{10}$ ✓

A

B

C

2. Amiah mortgaged his $\frac{1}{2}$ share in October 1895 for a consideration of Rs 200 The fact was brought to the notice of the Dy Commr by

by the Sub-Registrar, to whose office the documents had been presented for Registration. The former (one Mudiah) thereupon directed the Amildar of the Srinivasapur Taluk to serve a notice on the alienee, under clause B, of the alienation Rules of the 17th November 1877, requiring her to restore the land within 6 months. But she having failed to comply with the requisition, one Puttanna Chetty, who had since succeeded one Mudiah, passed an order on the 14th June 1898 to the effect that the portion

of

4 of the land which had been alienated
~~Of the alienated land~~

should be resumed and
placed under Government
management, and that the
lands should not be given
back to the alienor, nor
should he be permitted to
render service in the Dustur,
other
and that competent persons
should be appointed to do
Dafe service in the temple.
Amirah, the alienor, since
redeemed the Inam lands
alienated by him, in 1898, and now claims restoration of
his portion of the
land.

3 In the meanwhile, the entire
by mistake,
Village had been brought
under Government management by the Amildar
in pursuance of the orders
of the Dy Commissioner.
Two of the share-holders,
Viz Ramachandra and Subbi
had

D & E

had alienated their respective shares to one Subbamma in 1897 and 1894 respectively; but the fact had not been brought to the notice of the local municipal Officers. But, when the whole village was brought under the Government-management, the aliance Subbamma, presented a petition to the Architect on the 3rd Sept 1898, praying for the recognition of his right to perform the Pooja-service in the temple, on the ground that he had acquired the interests of Ramasubba and Subbi who had, on account of old age &c, transferred

their interests to him by
sale. This case was also
similarly dealt with as
that of Amirah and the
parties called upon on
the 12th November 1898 to redeem
the lands within 6 months —
This they have failed to do.

3 The Amildar having
however assumed the manage-
ment of the entire village,
I sold the pasturage thereof
for Rs 74-6-0 and applied
to the Dy Commr for instructions
to credit the same to the
Surplus funds of the Taluk.
Mr Sparkes, who had since
succeeded Mr Suttanna
Chetty as Dy Commr, solicited
fresh orders on the subject
in December 1898. No orders
were
have

C

X By the Asst Comr
in charge of the
Taluk.

have been given on this point, because the Dy Comr was asked to report (i) why the amount realized from the entire Swan village should be credited to Govt, while the shares of the alienors alone were liable to resumption and (ii) on what grounds the whole village was resumed.

4. In reply, the Dy Comr has now reported that the Amildar, instead of resuming only Amirah's share in the village viz ½ resumed the entire village apparently on the ground that all the Hissedars were jointly enjoying the village

* In his office letter no 834 dated 29th January 1900

J.

G.

dividing the produce among
themselves in the proportion
of their respective shares
and that- Consequently,
Amiah had no lands
separately assigned to him;
that ~~Subbarayan~~ Amiah
has redeemed his share;
that Ramen Subba and
Subbi, being unable to do
the Tafe Service on account-
old age and other causes, sold their shares to Subbamma
and that therefore the
alienation in Subbamma's
favour may be approved
and his (Subbamma's)
right recognized as he
has Consented to do Tafe
Service for the $\frac{3}{10}$ Hg. share
purchased by him. The
Dy Comm also recommends

the

9 ←

the restoration of the Village to the Inamdars, Lubba-
-ma's name being keyed for a $\frac{2}{10}$ths share in the Village, in the place of Ramna Lubba and Lubbi.

The other 3 Hissedars viz Lachi, Venkataramma and Venkataramanna have also petitioned the Amildar Head, for the misdeeds of their co-
-shares and for no fault of theirs, they have also been deprived of the enjoyment of their respective shares —

Two Government orders* on the subject of alienation are put up for reference

Submitted

28/10/02

1) Pro no 7704-12-234 dated 5th January 1882 M.

2) Pro no 3857-65-100 dated 24th August 1882. M.

There is no difficulty as regards Nos 1, 3, 5 and 6. No 1 has reclaimed the waste land and it may I think be restored to him. The trees & there have been trudessing fences and did not alienate their lands. Their lands, which have been wrongly taken under Govt Management, should, I think, be restored to them

As regards 2 and 4 ask for an opinion whether the fences erected by them both can hereafter be interfered with or done by the purchaser Shanmuck

Ask for the Law Officers opinion regarding the proposal to transfer the lands of Nos 2 & 4 to the Name of Shanmuck the purchaser — GL 31/10/12

Note continued :—

As directed above

the

11

In this office Dft
no 922 dated
6th Nov, 02. H.
J.

The Dy Comrs was asked
to report on the subject and
the Asst Superintendent
was also requested to
favour the Govt with
with his opinion as to
whether the alienation of
the Crown Lands by Rama
Subba and Subbi in
favour of Subbamma
might be upheld under
the Crown Rules and
whether the Rules conveyed
in the late Chief Comr's
notification of the 17th
November 1877 regarding
the resumption of the
alienated Crown Lands
might be held to be not
applicable to the present
case.

12

Case. In reply the
Assam Govt states that-

In his Letter no 36
dated 19th Nov '02
K

" the Case by Hossanas
" nos 2 & 4 viz Ramna
" Subbamma and Subbi
" of their shares
" in the Devadanya
" Service Inam village
" is undoubtedly
" opposed to the Rules
" laid down in the
" late Chief Comm's
" note ~~xxx~~ of the 17th
" November 1877".

The Dy Commr, in
reply to the query from this
office states that the
claimee Subbamma has
been performing the "Tope"
Services of the shares
of

In his letter no 3501/589
dated 21st July '03
L

of Ramasubba and Subbi for the last 10 years and hence he will continue to satisfactorily perform the same.

Submitted

Ds
28/5/03

Deputy Secretary's remarks:-

As regards the ½ share mortgaged by Amiah in 1895, who since redeemed his share, and as regards the shares of Nos 3, 5, & 6 Viz, Seethi, Venkatramana and Venkatrama, I have already noted in page 10 of this note that their respective shares may be restored to them

Regarding the $\frac{2}{10}$ths share of nos 2 & 4 viz, Rama Subba and Subbi, the Deputy Collr is of opinion that, as the alienee Subbamma has been satisfactorily performing the "Jafe" services formerly done by the alienors for the past 10 years, and as he is willing to continue to perform the same, his right may be recognized and his name registered in the place of the alienors. The Inam Superintendent simply states that the sale by RamaSubba and Subbi in favor of Subbamma is opposed to the Rules of 1877. So far as the alienors

are concerned, as they have unauthorizedly alienated the Devadaya Service Inam land in their possession, their conduct has to be dealt with under the Rules of 1877 and accordingly it may be declared that neither they nor any of their descendants will have any right to claim the Inam land either now or in the future.

As regards the alienee Subbamma, it happens that he actually performs the service formerly done by the alienors He seems also to have paid money for the lands in question Under these circumstances

It is submitted that the Deputy Commissioners' recommendation to register the land in the name of the alinee may be approved Such a transfer is permitted by Govt. Pro: No. 3857-65 R 100 dated 24th August 1882, in which it is stated — "If the mortgagees are qualified to do the work, they may be appointed to the Offices in preference to strangers as some compensation for the loss of their money".

The alienee may be informed that he has no right to the land by right of his purchase which was an unauthorised transaction, but that it is granted to him

purely on account of the service to be performed and that it will be resumed if the service is not duly performed or if any unauthorised alienation is made by him. Mis Penal

The Inam Department may be asked to make the necessary alteration in the Register.

Subd to the Dewan

6/6/03

03161

Somehow stamped notice to be drawn in a precedent. This is an analogous case — Mis Penal Code under the peculiar circumstances

1066/8.6.03

Recd 20-VII-03

21/7/03

1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MUZARAYI.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 16*th January* 1894.

No $\frac{741\text{-}50.}{\text{Muz. F. } 60\text{-}93.}$

Directing the re-publication of the rules on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inam lands assigned to religious and charitable institutions.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore —19-1-94—120.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 16th January 1894.

MUZARAYI.

No. 741-50—Muz. F. 60-93, dated, Bangalore, 16th January 1894.

Order.—The Government resolve to re-publish for general information the following Notification of the late Chief Commissioner embodying the rules sanctioned by the Government of India on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inam lands assigned to religious and charitable institutions.

A. SREENIVASSACHARLU,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Palace Controller, Mysore.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

NOTIFICATION.

The 17th November 1877.

No. 266.—Under the sanction of the Government of India, conveyed in their letters Nos. 89 R. and 105 R., dated 13th September and 29th October 1877, respectively, the following rules providing for the restoration of Inam lands unauthorizedly alienated from the religious or charitable institutions to which they were originally assigned, are published for general information :—

I. All Inam lands granted to religious and charitable institutions, and for the maintenance of persons rendering service therein, will be confirmed and continued to them, so long as the conditions of the grant are duly fulfilled.

II. Whenever it is found that such lands are diverted from the object for which they were granted, by means of sale, gift, mortgage or otherwise, the following rules shall be observed :—

A. Where such alienations were made prior to the 31st January 1853 but within 50 years computing the period of possession up to 14th December 1867, the lands or villages so alienated will, if not restored voluntarily by the holder, be confirmed and subjected to a jodi of one-half the assessment; the jodi or assessment so imposed being payable direct to the institution from which they were alienated.

B. In the case of alienations made subsequent to 31st January 1853, a notice will be issued to the alienee or alienees requiring him or them to restore the lands to the institution to which they belong, within 6 months from the date on which the notice is served on the alienee or alienees, or his or their agent or manager who may be in charge of the lands, and on default of compliance, the lands will be summarily resumed and restored to the institution by the Revenue authorities under instructions from the Inam Superintendent.

C. In the case of a simple mortgage or hypothecation of the Inam lands, without possession, the transaction will be declared null and void in so far as it is intended to create a lien on the lands or to treat them as security for the loan advanced.

III. Lands held for 50 years and upwards, with or without titles, computing the period of possession up to 14th December 1867, will be confirmed to the holders on the terms prescribed in the Land Inam Rules, and will not be included in the title-deed granted by the Inam Department to the religious institutions.

IV. The alienations, whether made in favor of disciples of the institutions or others unconnected with it, will be treated alike, and disposed of under these rules.

By Order,
T. CLARKE,
Secretary.

Exd.—M.A.

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 5th January 1882.

READ—

Docket No. 717 of the——December 1881, from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore submitting for orders a memo from the Muzrayi Officer with Deputy Commissioner's remarks thereon in connection with the Inam lands attached to the Rasûlsha Darga in the Hoskote Taluk.

No. 7704-12—234, DATED 5TH JANUARY 1882.

ORDER THEREON.—The orders contained in the Notification of 1877 in regard to the treatment of alienated service inam lands cannot be indiscriminately given effect to for the benefit of the inamdars who are themselves the chief offenders in the matter. Whilst it is important to prevent the alienation of inams granted for public services of a religious or charitable nature, it is necessary that the families of the persons who are guilty of having made the alienation should be removed from their offices and connection with the institution for which the inams are granted. Where the purchasers or the mortgagees have obtained the inams through ignorance for a valuable consideration, it will be proper to compel the inamdars who alienated the inams, or the new nominees to the offices, or the communities interested in the institution, to make good to the alienees the consideration actually paid by them and any further compensation which may be considered proper, before the inam is restored to them. If they do not consent, or are not able to pay the compensation, and the institution is not of such a general public character as to require the intervention of Government for its protection as in the case under notice, the proper course to pursue would be to resume and bring under full assessment the lands alienated.

2. Before passing final orders on the case under notice, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit fuller information in regard to the nature of the Makan, the value of inam lands and other Government or other endowments enjoyed by it, the circumstances connected with the alienation and the inamdars who made it, the wishes of the persons or communities interested in the institution, and the arrangement proposed for giving effect to the above orders on the case.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore.
All other Deputy Commissioners.
The Survey Superintendent,

1882.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 24th August 1882.

No. 3857-65.
100.

Passing orders on a report regarding the unauthorized alienation of the inam attached to two Anjaneya temples in the Magadi and Nelamangala Taluks

Mysore Govt Press, Bangalore.—26-8-82.—145

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore (Revenue),—dated the 24th August 1882.

READ—

Letters Nos 365 and 366 of the 10th August 1882, from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore forwarding the vernacular Proceedings in connection with the unauthorized alienation of inam lands attached to the Anjaneya temples at Madabalu in the Magadi Taluk and at Tyamagondal in the Nelamangala Taluk and requesting orders to adhere to the rules laid down in the Notification of 1877 on the subject.

No. 3857-65—100, DATED BANGALORE, 24TH AUGUST 1882.

ORDER THEREON.—The orders conveyed in Proceedings No. 7704-12—234 of the 5th January last were not intended to supersede the provision made in the Notification of the 17th November 1877, for the resumption and restoration to the institutions concerned of service inam lands alienated subsequent to 31st January 1853, but directed that indiscriminate effect should not be given to the above in cases in which the service inam-holders grossly abused their trust by alienating the inams and in which it may sometimes be desirable not to intercede on behalf of the inamdars but to bring the alienated inam lands under full assessment as a penalty on the alienor.

2. In the two cases referred to in the above, in which the inam lands of the Anjaneya temples in the villages of Tyamagondal in the Nelamangala Taluk and Madabalu in the Magadi Taluk have been alienated, it may not be desirable to deprive the temples of the inams on account of the fault of the temple servants who alienated them. But it is necessary that the families of the alienors should not get back the inams without some penalty. They should be called upon to pay to the mortgagees one-half of the mortgage money, failing which others should be appointed to the mirási offices in the temples and the inams resumed and restored to them If the mortgagees are qualified to do the work, they may be appointed to the offices in preference to strangers as some compensation for the loss of their money.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore with the vernacular papers received from him.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
The Inam Superintendent.

Gen. 31.]

1898-99 SR1

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 21-12-98
REG. No. 1547
MUZRAI BRANCH

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
Muzrai Department.

Dated 10th December 1898.
Despatched 19th
Received

General No. 2353
No. 641.

Enclosure No. A file of ...

Requesting permission to credit to "Surplus Funds Sale proceeds of "Hullabanni" of Devasamudra gadde, a Kaipenguttu village ...

Vide no 886 ...

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 10/19 th December 98.

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Inuzrai Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for information vernacular correspondence ending with Yadi No. 75 dated 23rd September 1898 from the 1st Assistant Commissioner, Kolar Dist. requesting instruction to Credit to "Surplus Funds" Sale proceeds of "Hullubanni" of Devasandra Yadi a Kayemgutta village in the Sreenivasapur Taluq. The said village

of

of Devasamvada gadde is a Kaylugutta granted to Anniah and five others for Thafe" Service in the Venkataramana Swami Temple, at Rorur in the Sreenivasapur Taluq, Anniah holding one-half the village and the remaining 5 others 1/10th each. The whole village is assessed at Rs 23-4-4 of which Rs 18/- is being paid to government towards Gutta amount and the quitrent. The said Anniah mortgaged his 1/2 share in the village to one Chandravadanamma also a "Thafe" woman for Rs 200/- and executed a deed on the 18th October 1895. This deed was registered in the Sub Registry office at Sreenivasapur on the 21st October 1895 and a copy of it was submitted to

the

the office by the Sub Registrar with his report number 21 dated 25th October 95.

2 Mr. Madiah the then Deputy Commissioner on perusal of the above report, directed the Amildar, Sreeniwasapur, to serve on the alienee a notice under para 2 clause 3 of the rules published under Government notification dated 17th Nov: 1877, requesting her to restore the village to the temple within 6 months, but she has failed to comply with the requisition. -

3 The Amildar in reporting the default of compliance brought by the notice in his report no: 510 dt 9th may 1898. that the persons for whose maintenance the inam has been granted were not rendering service in the Institution and recommended for the attachment and management of the village

as a tentative measure by Government agency for 2 years, as the measure would act as a prevention to future alienation of the Inam village and a check on the continued neglect of the persons in rendering service therein -

4. Thereupon Mr K. P. Puttanna Chetty Deputy Commissioner passed an order on 14-6-98 to the effect that the portion of the Inam alienated shou. be resumed and placed under Government management and that the lands should not be given back to the alienator nor should he be permitted to render service in the Institution -

5. On the strength of this order, the Amildar assumed management of the whole village, sold the pasturage thereof for Rs 74-6-0 including local cess and applied for instructions to credit the same to the "Surplus Funds" of the Institution

Commissioner's

Should the measure adopted by former Deputy Commissioner in this case be approved by Government, I request that orders may be passed to credit the amount to the Institution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H H Parker
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Encl

General Serial No. 30.

From 1899 SL2

To The Inam Superintendent

Dated 24th January 1899

Despatched 25th January 1899

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. SL2

Inam No.

Has the honor to request to be furnished with an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the Inam of the ~~Kaiyanu Jutta~~ Kaiyanu Jutta village of Devasandra gaddi in the Srinivasapur Taluk, Kolar District bone Anaiya and 5 others for the performance of Tape Service in the ~~village temple~~ Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Rotur.

B. O.

Inam Secretary

From the Name

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
Rd 2/2/99

Sl 3
1898-99

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To Murray
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 1st
Despatched 2nd } February 1899
Received

No. 53 of 1898—99

Enclosure No. one.

Refer as 2-2-99

His docket 2nd 886 dated 25th ultimo.

As requested in the above, has the honour to forward, herewith, an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of the Kayenguttu village of Deva-Soundradagade, in the Sreenivasapur Taluk, Kolar District.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Register Sheet No 5

Taluk Srirangapatna

Hobali Somanajahalli

Village Devasandra Agrahara Kaveri Garden

Copy of Inam Register ~~Form~~ of ~~the~~ Kayamgutta Devasoundradurga

No of case	General class to which the Kayamgutta village belongs.	Beriz of the village.	Description of Inam. If for service, state so to be stated whether the service is continued If for buildings, &c., whether they are effectively kept up.	Amount of Kayamgutta paid to Government.	By whom granted and in what year.
1	2	3	4	5	6
5 Title Deed No 2 Title Deed No 152 Date of prepn 1-7-80 Date of issue To Talook Revised Final Title Deed No 911	Devadaya Service	D-T-V — Rs. a-p 8 — " — 23-4-4	For Safe Service in the Temple of Venkatramanaswami at Ronur	P-T-V 4-1-" = Rs a p 11-14-10	As per Chief 6 Inroop 15th May 18

Note

The Survey valuation of the village gives to each fanam of the old beriz Rs 1-4-" which is in valuing the Minor Inam.

COVERED BY MC 011

village ~~of~~ in the Sowayapalapalli

Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c, and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.	Name of the original grantee.	Whether the village is entered in the Register of Kayamgutta Villages received from the Chief Commissioner's Office. If not, in what other accounts.
7	8	9

1 Amils Takeed of 21st May 1834 from the Amil to the Shaikdar to continue this village 1 as per Huzur orders; on a payment of Pagodas 4-1-a for Safe Service in the Temple of the Village of Ronur.

Ronur Katawa Rama.

Huzur Kayemgutta. Account of 1835 Village No 254

Party in column 8.

Kadiri Beriz.
P. 7. V
8 - a - o

Gutta amount
P. 7. V
4 - 1 - a

Taluk List of 1878
Ronur Katawa Amigas
Present collection
Rs a. P
11 - 14 - 10

Huzur jamabandi Goshwara 1834.
Page No 5 and 6
5 Kayemgutta Villages
Up to last year P. 7. V 35-9-a
add during the year Devasandra 4-1-a
-da gadda 3
40-a-a

× × × —

Devasandragadda
Safe Rama P. 7. V 4-1-a

Sammat

Residence, name, age, &c, of the present owner.	Relation to original grantee.	If unconnected with the original holder, possession how obtained and in what year.
10	11	12
Romur		
1 Anniah 32 years 1/2 Hodli	Son	Inheritance
2. Ram Subba 50 years 1/10	Sister's son	"
3 Seetee 40 years 1/10	niece	"
4 Subbi 40 years 1/10	Grand Daughter	"
5 Venkatramana 1/10 40 years	Nephew	"
6 Romur Venkatrama 30 years 1/10	Son	"

Sreenivasapur — Taluk

Assistant's opinion and recommendation.

13

1 Numbers 1 and 2 have appeared & produced the Sakeed quoted in column 7, which is read returned. In this the Huzur Commissioner's order of 15th May 1834 is quoted, but the word "Kayemgutta is not used. It is directed that the amount of Cagodas 4-1-0 should be collected annually.

2 Apply to Secretary for the order of 15th May 1834.
Case adjourned to 15th April next.

Devanahalli
12th March 1880

/Signed/ R. A. Cole
Asstt to Inam Settlt

3 Read the extract from order No 510 dated 15th May 1834 issued by the Chief Commissioner to Narasingarao Amildg Sreenivasapur, received with Secretary's 8 & 5/1 of the 1st April 1880. In this the word 'Kayemgutta' is not stated, and it is said that the Village is to be continued to Saffe Valas on condition of service, paying 5-4-1 every year. The account received with the above docket is quoted in column 9 of the Register

/Signed/ B. Rayagopaiya

12-4-80

District of Kolar.

4 No 1 in column 10 is present

5 Conferred on ½ Quit-Rent 5-10-9 plus Rs 11-14-10 is equal to or Rupees 18 and interim.

6 This Village is also subject to all Local Fund & Irrigation cesses.

7 All Govtt rights to Sayer, Abkari and Forests are reserved

8 A valuation Survey is desired.

Noundidroog 15th April 1880 } Signed Robt Cole Supdt of Inam Settlt

9. Revised as per the Dewan's Proceedings No 4701-9/133 dated 2nd September 1881.

10. Vide the Statement received with Survey Superintendent's Docket No 793 dated 21st December 1887.

	A - G -	Rs a p
Total Area and Assessment of the Village	244-22	105-12-0
Deduct for a minor Inam — " —	6	1-4-0
Balance	244-16	104-8-0

Particulars

	A - G	Rs a P
Inculturable -- --	183.33	2 - 12 - "
Dry Cultivated —	57 .36	63 - 12 - "
Wet Cultivated —	8 . 27	38 - " - "
	Total 244 - 16	104 - 8 - "

Existing Gutta —— ------ 11-14-10

½ Quit-Rent on the difference of Rupees 92-9-2 ------ } 46-4-7

Total 58-3-5 or

Avoiding fractions Rupees 58.

Finally confirmed as above

3-7-93

Signed / J. P. Grant
Supt of Inam Setts

"Copy"

Compd
&

J. P. Grant

Bungalore Dated
1st February 1899

Supt of Inam Setts

MUZRAI

1899

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

If this Quit-rent has been paid for the last year & by whom A very early reply is Requested

3. The enclosures are herewith returned for reference

B.O.

21

January

From the Commr. Cbe 65 of 1899

In Continuation

Taluk

~~~~~~.

Has the honor

to request that the

Counsel of the Ryotwar pattah

Title deed for the ~~Inam~~

~~Inam grant of [illegible] etc.~~

may be obtained from

the holder thereof and sent

to this Office for acc[e].

2. From the Copy of the

Inam Reg[r]. ~~Grant obtained~~

Grant obtained from the

Inam Supt[t]. it is observed

that a Cumbrick

Quit Rent of Rs 5 8

(in Lieu of the old

Kayem Putta Amount) has

been ~~Imposed~~ imposed on the

Village - Enquiries
~~~~~~

1899 S.S.

FROM
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, ~~Revenue and General~~ departments.

4.8.99.

Dated 2nd August 1899.

Despatched 3 —"— —

Received

General No. 463

No. 101

Enclosure No. 1 & Sec Order.

Refer to 4/8/99

Referring to his docket No. 995 dated 25th February last, has the honor to forward herewith the Title-deed issued from the Inam department for the grant of Devalandra Guddi village as Inam for the service as therein requested and to state that the quit-rent due for the year Havellambi (1897-1898) has been paid by Chunaiya and other share-holders of the Inam village.

Letter No: 55 dated 4th July 1899 received from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar on the subject together with the Sree Inam for Talak Shanbogue Singa Reddy and the vernacular counterpart ending with his receipt for [illegible]

Vide no 834 d/29/1/00

dated 10th July 1899 is herewith

submitted for further reference.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Regd No: 268 of 99-900
190

(General Serial No 30)

1899-1900

From

The General Assistant Commissioner,
Kolar.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar.

Dated 14th July 1899

Despatched 17/7/99

Received

General No. 35 } 189
No.

Enclosures No. Ten papers

Sir,

With reference to the Mysore Secretary's docket No 995 dated 2nd February 1899, Copy of which was received with your Proc No 179 dated 3rd Idem, regarding the resumption under the direct management of the Mysore Department, of a portion of Devasandra village, attached as Inam, for Shafa service to the Venkataramanaswamy temple at Roner, Sreenivaspur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith, as called for in the above, the title deed issued by the Inam Department, produced by one Annappa, a share-holder of the Inam village.

2. It will be seen from the Amildars' report, that the Sista amount for the year 1897-98 has been paid by the respective Inam share holders

Inspection Note 9/7/99

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—4-7-98.—7,554.

to Government

3. An English memo, explaining the circumstances under which the village was brought under Government management, drawn and submitted by the Amildar, with the Vernacular papers, are hereunto forwarded for further reference, if needed

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant,

Gleson Campbell

General Assistant Commissioner,
Kolar District.

1899-1900

Sl 6

To

The Deputy Commr

Kolar Dt

dated 29th Jan.y 1900

No. 834 Eu 2 & V/1/1- dt 29.1.1900

His no ~~463~~ 101 dt 2nd August 1899 regarding the Inam village of Devasandra - gudi attached to the Sri Venkatasamanaeswaraswami temple at Ronur in Srinivaspur Taluk

---

Have the honor to forward herewith a statement prepared in this Office from the records received with the above and to state with the deference to the fact that all the Inam settlement the village has been effected

in the names of 6 persons
whose Specific Shares in
the Bank have been Severally
recognized and recorded
and that out of these 6 Shares
~~Shares holders~~ only 3 have been alienated
alienated ~~their Shares~~ while the other
while the ~~3 Shares~~ 3 Shares of the ~~other 3 persons remain~~ 3 Shares remain
unalienated It is not
clear why the amount
realized from the entire
Nam village should be
credited to Govt while
the Shares of the alienors
alone are liable to
resumption The Dy
Comm^r is therefore requested
to submit a detailed
report as to the ~~grounds~~ grounds
on which the whole village
was resumed.

2. The enclosures

are returned for
reference and re-sub-
-mission with the
report above called for

By order
of
Major-General

(General Serial No. 30.)

Sl 7

1907

From I. & C. Agency
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To A. Anantasamy Ron Esq.
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mysore Dept.

Dated 30th November 1907

Despatched

Received

General No. C. 924. } 1907.
No.

Enclosures Number. One note

Submitting detail
report regarding Taf a
Service in the Sri Venkataramana
Swami temple at Kamasamudram
in Srinivasapur T. K.

OFFICE OF THE DEW
RECEIVED
Date 3.12.07
REG. No. 357
MUZRAI DEPTT.

3/12/07

Refer
to

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office

N (92)

Camp- Chintamani Rd

Dated 30th November 1901

From,

T. E. Cain Esqre

Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To,

L. Ananthasami Row Esqre

Deputy Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Inam Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 834 dated 29th January 1900 requesting to submit a detailed report of the circumstances under which the entire village of Inam Devasandra Gudi assigned for the "Jafa" Service of Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Ronur in the Srinivasapur Taluk was resumed, I have the honor to state that on a report made by the Amildar of Srinivasapur to the effect that Anniah

one of the sharers in the village had mortgaged his share to one Chandra Appa and had failed to redeem the mortgage in spite of repeated notices and that the "Jafa" Service in the temple was not performed properly. The then Deputy Commissioner in his order No 295 dated 14th June 1898 directed the resumption of the alienated Inam. On this the Amildar instead of resuming only Annaji's share in the village resumed the entire village, whereupon they on the ground that all the sharers were jointly enjoying the village, dividing the produce among themselves in the proportion of their respective shares and that consequently each was entitled to a definite share of the produce in which he exercised a valuable ownership which could not be resumed without interfering with the shares of the other sharers.

2 Of the three hissedars Annaiah, Ramasubba and Subbi who had alienated their shares, Annaiah has redeemed his share from the mortgage to which he had subjected it. Rama-Subba and Subbi who had sold their Shares to one Subbamma, a kinsman of theirs, - have stated that they are unable to do the "Jafa" Service on account of old age and other causes, tha the sale made by them may be approved and Subbamma's right as purchaser of their shares recognized. Subbamma has consented to do the "Jafa" Service for $\frac{2}{10}^{ths}$ share purchased by him from Rama-subba and Subbi. Considering that Subbamma is related to Ramasubba and Subbi and that the latter are unable to do the "Jafa Service themselves, I think that the alienation in Subbamma's favour may be approved

3 I therefore beg to recommend that the village in question may be restored to the Inamdar — Subbamma's name being registered for a $\frac{2}{10}^{\text{ths}}$ share in the village in the place of Kamasubba and Subbi.

4. The entire vernacular correspondence on the subject is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed[ient] & [illegible]

[signature]
Collector
Salem Dist[rict]

MUZRAI

1902 -9-

SL 8

From

To

The Deputy Commr,
Kolar District -

Dated 6th Nov: 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 922.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. -

Referring to his letter no. C. 924, dated 30th November 1901, reporting on the alienation of the Inam lands endowed for "Jafe service in the Sri Venkataramana Swami Temple at Ronur in the Srinivasapur Taluk, has the honor to enquire whether the hitherto services rendered by Rama Subba and Subbi can hereafter be satisfactorily done by Shamanna, who is reported to have purchased the shares of the Inam lands assigned to

MGCPS--11-1-1901--1500

the former - viz Rama Subba and Subbi -

2. An early reply is requested.

By order,

3/11/12

Dy Secretary,
Mun. Deptt

Sd

Mysore

Sl 9

No 9238 6/11/1902.
Muz F 16-1902

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

Sir,

I am directed to state that the village of Devasandra in the Srinivasapur Taluk, Kolar District, is endowed as Devadaya Inam in favor of the Sri Venkata ramanaswami Temple at Donur in the said Taluk. At the time of the Inam Settlement in 1880, the village was confirmed to Donur and Amiah and Others as noted in the margin for "Jafe" service in the Temple, on a Kayamgutta tenure subject to the annual payment of Rs 18/- In the year 1893, the village was finally confirmed on a combined Quit Rent of Rs 58/- ...

× (1) Amiah ½
(2) Ramasubba 1/10
(3) Seshi 1/10
(4) Subbi 1/10
(5) Venkatanarayana 1/10
(6) Venkatanarayana 1/10

8 of the Old Kayamgutta amount.

2 2. The Deputy Commr., Kolar District, reports in his letter No. 924/357 dated 30th Nov 1901, that on account of old age and consequent inability to perform the stipulated service in the Temple, the hissedars Nos. 2 & 4 viz Rama Subba and Subbi have sold alienated their respective shares to one Subbanna in 1897 and 1894 respectively, and recommends that such alienation to the latter may be approved and his right recognised, as he consents to do the "Jape" service for the 2/10 ths share purchased by him -

3. In these circs., I am to request the favor of your opinion as to whether the alienation in question may be upheld under the Inam Rules and whether the Rules conveyed in

the late Chief Commrs notifn
of the 17th Nov 1877, regarding
the resumption of the alienated
many lands, may ~~not~~ be ~~made~~ held
to be not
applicable to the present case.

4. An early reply is re-
quested -

I have &c,

4/11/12
Dy Secretary,
Mines Deptt -

1901-1902

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To Deputy
THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Inamdari Department.

Dated 19th
Despatched 19th November 1902.
Received

No. 36 of 1902—03

Enclosures No.

In reply to his letter No. 923 dated 6th Instant.

Has the honor to report that the sale by Hissadars Nos. 2 & 4 viz: Ramasubba and Subbi, of their shares in the Devadaya Service Inam village of [illegible] Devasandra in the Sreenivasapur Taluk kolar District, is undoubtedly opposed to the Rules laid down in the late Chief Commissioners notification of the 19th October 1869

E

OFFICE OF THE D.E.N. INDIA
20.11.02.
388
MUSEE DE FRANCE

Submitted

The Dy Comrs reply to this Office Docket-No 922 dated 6th November 1902 may be communicated

21/11/02

1/45 21/11/02



1902-03.

From

F. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

L. Ananthaswamie Rao Esq
Deputy Secretary
Inspection Department

Dated 20

Despatched 21st February 1903

Received

General No. 3501 &
No. 589

Enclosure No. 2

Report on the pendency of the Taluk Offices of Sri Venkataramana Swamy Temple, Kolar, Srinivasapura & Dabibi.

Repeat
Ks
28/2/03

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Ko Car. Dated the 20/21 Februar

From

F. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Ko Car District.

To.

L Ananthaswami Rao Esquire
Deputy Secretary,
to Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 922 dated the 6th November 1902 enquiring whether the 'Jafa' services to Sri Venkatramana Swami Temple Konur, Srinivaspur Taluk, hitherto rendered by Ramasubba and Subbee cannot hereafter be satisfactorily done by Subbanna (not Shamanna as stated in the docket) the purchaser of their shares, I have the honor to submit herewith

herewith Srinivaspur Huildar's no 497 dated the 25th December 1902 submitting the Statement of Subbanna to the effect that he has been performing the 'Jafa' Services of the Shares of Ramasubba and Subbee for the last 10 years and that he will continue to do the — service. From the above Statement it is plain that Subbanna can satisfactorily perform the 'Jafa' service falling to the Shares purchased by him.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

Murzai. 912

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 3501/589 dated 20th February 1903, from the Dy Commr., Kolar District,— regarding the alienation of the inam granted for "Tapé Service" in the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Romur, Sreenivaspur Taluk.

And,

Docket no. 216 of 19th November 1902 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

No. 438-9 of Murzi 16-1902 12/8/03 Enfile

Order thereon:— The Kayamgutta

village of Devasandragadda
in the Srinivaspur Taluk
is a Devadaya" inam
granted for "Tape" service
in the Sri Venkataramana
Swami temple at Ronur.
During the Inam Settlement
in 1880, the village was
confirmed to Ronur Anniah
and five others noted in
the margin* for "Tape"
Service in the temple on
Kayamgatta tenure, subject
to the annual payment
of Rs 18. Subsequently
in 1893, the village was

* 1. Anniah 1/2
2. Rama Subba 1/10
3. Seethi 1/10
4. Subbi 1/10
5. Venkataramana 1/10
6. Venkataramana 1/10

finally confirmed on a combined Quit-Rent of Rs 58/- inclusive of the old Kayamegatta amount

2. It having been brought to the notice of the Dy Comm.r of the Kolar district that, Annah had mortgaged his half ~~share~~ share of the village in October 1895 for a consideration of Rs 200, a notice was served on the alienee (Chandravadana - alias Tapeswamy) under Clause B of the Rules published under the Notification No 266 of 17th November '97

requiring her to restore
the land within 6 months.
But, she having failed
to comply as above, the
Dt Commr ordered that
the portion of the land
alienated should be
resumed & placed under
Govt management, and
that the same should not
be given back to the alienor
Aumiah (the alienor) since
redeemed in 1898, the portion of
the inam land alienated
by him & claims restoration
of his portion of the land,
Was, meanwhile, in the
entire village had been
by

by mistake, brought under Govt management by the Amildar, ~~in pursuance of the orders of the Dy Comm.~~

Two of the Shareholders - Viz, Ramasubba & Subbu had also alienated their respective Shares to one Subbamma in 1897 and 1894 respectively, but this fact was not brought to the notice of the local authorities, until Subbamma petitioned the Amildar on the 3rd Sept 1898 praying for the recognition of his right to perform the "Tape Service in the temple Thereupon the

~~[illegible]~~ alienors were ~~[illegible]~~ also served with a notice to redeem their shares within 6 months which they have ~~[illegible]~~ failed to do

4. Upon a reference made from this Office in January 1900, the Dy Commr. reports that the Amildar, instead of resuming only Amiah's 1/2 share in the village, resumed the entire village, apparently on the ground that all the Hissedars were jointly enjoying the village, that Amiah since redeemed his share, that

that Ramasubba and Subbi being unable to do "Jafe" service on account of old ~~age~~ age & other causes, sold their Shares to Subbanna and recommends ~~that~~, therefore that the alienation in Subbanna's favour may be approved and his right recognized as he has consented to do "Jafe" service in the temple for his 2/10ths ~~1/10~~, Share.

5. The other 3 Kissedars Viz, Seetha, Venkataramma and Venkataramana have also petitioned the Amildar that they have been willing to

of their respective Shares
owing to the fault of
their Co-Sharers.

6. The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements who
was consulted in the matter,
states that the sale by
Kissedars Nos 2 and 4
Viz, Rama subba & Subbi,
of their Shares is opposed
to the Rules laid down in
the late Chief Commissioner's
Notn of the 17th Novr 1877
The D. Commr states
that Subbanna has been
performing the Tabe
Services of the Shares of
Rama Subba and Subbi
for

for the past 10 years and that he will continue to satisfactorily perform the same and recommends that his name be registered for the 2/10 his share in the village in the place of Rama Subba & Subbi.

7. With reference to the foregoing, the Govt. observe that as regards the 1/2 share mortgaged by Amiah in 1895, who since redeemed his share, and as regards the shares of Nos 3, 5 & 6 Viz, - Seetha Venkataramana & Venkatrama

there is no difficulty ~~whatever~~
Where lands which have
been wrongly taken under
Govt' management, should
be restored to them
Regarding the 2/10 ths share
of Nos: 2 & 4 Viz, Ramasubba
and Subbi, the D/ Comm^r
is of opinion that as the alienee
Subbamma has been satisfactorily
performing, for the past 10 years, the "Tafe Services
formerly done by the
alienors, ~~for the past 10 years~~
and as he is willing to
continue to perform the
same, his right may be
recognized and his name
registered in the place of
the

alienors. The Inam Superintendent simply states that the sale by Ramasubba & ~~Seetha~~ Subbi in favor of Subbamma is opposed to the Rules of 1877. With reference to this, the Govt. observe that so far as the alienors are concerned, as they have unauthorizedly alienated the "Devadaya Service Inam land" in their possession, their conduct has to be dealt with under the Rules of 1877 and accordingly it is hereby declared that neither they nor any of

their descendants will
have any right to claim
the said land either now
or in the future as
regards the alienee Subbamma,
it happens that he actually
performs the service formerly
and has also paid money for the lands in question.
done by the alienors;
Under these circumstances
the Govt are pleased to
approve of the Commr's
recommendation to register
the land in the name
of the alienee, as such
a transfer is in accordance with
Govt No: 20 3857—65/R 100
dated 24th August 1882,
in which it is stated

that "if
~~"~~ the mortgagees are
"qualified to do the work
"they may be appointed
"to the offices in preference
"to strangers as some
"compensation for the
"loss of their money."
The alienee should be
informed that he has
no right to the land by
right of his purchase, which
was an unauthorized transaction
but that it is granted
to him purely on account
of the service to be performed
& that it will be resumed
if the service is not

duly performed or if

any unauthorized alienation

is made by him. This

special sanction should
not be taken as
~~not also be drawn into~~

a precedent, ~~this is an~~
now authorized
the arrangement ~~specially~~
being made
~~sanctioned~~ under the

peculiar circumstances

of this case. The Superintt

of Inam Settlements is requested

to make the necessary alterations

in the Inam Register

Subd.

may this be printed

Sd/-
3/8/03

Y/88 [illegible] 8/8/03

Dewan's order

[illegible] 8/8/03

Dy Secretary
Mysore Deptt

Sd/-
3/8/03

To, The Dy Commr Kolar Dt
Supernt of Inam Settlements ~~in Mysore~~ retd records

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 12th August 1903

No. 438-9
Muz. F. 16-1902

Passing orders regarding the [illegible]
of the Inam granted for "Tank Sluice
in the Sri Vedanarayanaswami
Ronur, Sindgi Tq.

SRP—[illegible]

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 3501—589, dated 20th February 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, regarding the alienation of the Inam granted for "Tafe service" in the Sri Venkatramanaswami temple at Ronur, Srinivaspur Taluk.

AND—

Docket No. 36, dated 19th November 1902, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

No. 438-9—MUZ. F. 16-1902, DATED BANGALORE, 12TH AUGUST 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The kayamgutta village of Devasandradagudda in the Srinivaspur Taluk, is a "Devadaya" Inam granted for "Tafe service" in the Sri Venkatramanaswami temple at Ronur. During the Inam Settlement in 1880, the village was confirmed to Ronur Annaiya and five others noted in the margin for "Tafe service" in the temple on kayamgutta tenure subject to the annual payment of Rs. 18. Subsequently in 1893, the village was finally confirmed on a combined quit-rent of Rs. 53 inclusive of the old kayamgutta amount.

Annaiya	...	$\frac{1}{2}$
Ramasubba	...	$\frac{1}{10}$
Sithi	...	$\frac{1}{10}$
Subbi	...	$\frac{1}{10}$
Venkatramana	...	$\frac{1}{10}$
Venkatrama	...	$\frac{1}{10}$

2. It having been brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, that, Annaiya had mortgaged his half share of the village in October 1895, for a consideration of Rs. 200, a notice was served on the alienee (Chandravadana—also a Tafe woman), under Clause B of the Rules published under Notification No. 266, dated 17th November 1877, requiring her to restore the land within six months. But, she having failed to comply as above, the Deputy Commissioner ordered that the portion of the land alienated should be resumed and placed under Government management, and that the same should not be given back to the alienor. Annaiya (the alienor) since redeemed in 1898 the portion of the Inam land alienated by him and claims restoration of his portion of the land, as, in the meanwhile, the entire village had been, by mistake, brought under Government management by the Amildar. Two of the shareholders, *viz.*, Ramasubba and Subbi had also alienated their respective shares to one Subbanna in 1897 and 1894 respectively, but this fact was not brought to the notice of the local authorities, until Subbanna petitioned the Amildar on the 3rd September 1898, praying for the recognition of his right to perform the "Tafe service" in the temple. Thereupon the alienors were also served with a notice to redeem their shares within six months which they have failed to do.

3. Upon a reference made from this office in January 1900, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the Amildar, instead of resuming only Annaiya's half share in the village, resumed the entire village, apparently on the ground that all the hissedars were jointly enjoying the village, that Annaiya since redeemed his share, that Ramasubba and Subbi being unable to do "Tafe service" on account of old age and other causes, sold their shares to Subbanna and recommends therefore that the alienation in Subbanna's favor may be approved and his right recognised as he has consented to do "Tafe service" in the temple for his two-tenths share.

4. The other three hissedars, *viz.*, Sithi, Venkatrama and Venkatramana have also petitioned the Amildar that they have been deprived of their respective shares owing to the fault of their co-sharers.

Muzrai, dated 12th August 1903.

5. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements, who was consulted in the matter, states that the sale by hissedars Nos. 2 and 4, *viz.*, Ramasubba and Subbi, of their shares is opposed to the rules laid down in the Chief Commissioner's Notification of the 17th November 1877. The Deputy Commissioner states that Subbanna has been performing the "Tafe services" of the shares of Ramasubba and Subbi for the past ten years, and that he will continue to satisfactorily perform the same and recommends that his name be registered for the two-tenths share in the village in the place of Ramasubba and Subbi.

6. With reference to the foregoing, the Government observe that as regards the half-share mortgaged by Annaiya in 1895, who since redeemed his share, and as regards the shares of Nos. 3, 5 and 6, *viz.*, Sithi, Venkatramana and Venkatrama there is no difficulty. Their lands which have been wrongly taken under Government management, should be restored to them. Regarding the two-tenths share of Nos. 2 and 4, *viz.*, Ramasubba and Subbi, the Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that as the alienee Subbanna has been satisfactorily performing for the past ten years, the "Tafe services" formerly done by the alienors, and as he is willing to continue to perform the same, his right may be recognised and his name registered in the place of the alienors. The Inam Superintendent simply states that the sale by Ramasubba and Subbi in favor of Subbanna is opposed to the Rules of 1877. With reference to this, the Government observe that so far as the alienors are concerned, as they have unauthorizedly alienated the "Devadaya Service Inam land" in their possession, their conduct has to be dealt with, under the Rules of 1877, and accordingly it is hereby declared that neither they nor any of their descendants will have any right to claim the Inam land either now or in the future. As regards the alienee Subbanna it happens that he actually performs the service formerly done by the alienors and has also paid money for the lands in question. Under these circumstances, the Government are pleased to approve of the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to register the land in the name of the alienee, as such a transfer is in accordance with Government Proceedings No. 3857-65—R. 100, dated 24th August 1882, in which it is stated that "if the mortgagees are qualified to do the "work they may be appointed to the offices in preference to strangers as some com-"pensation for the loss of their money." The alienee should be informed that he has no right to the land by right of his purchase, which was an unauthorized transaction but that it is granted to him purely on account of the service to be performed and that it will be resumed if the service is not duly performed, or if any unauthorized alienation is made by him. This special sanction should not be taken as a precedent, the arrangement now authorized being made under the peculiar circumstances of this case. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements is requested to make the necessary alterations in the Inam Register.

Draft.

Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, returning records.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Exd.—C.R.

Received on
30/9/12

DIARY No. 600

13/12/13

SECTION

FILE NO.

SERIAL NO.

Subrnitted

The copy may be granted
Thereafter is granted

30/9/12

30/9/12

2/10/12